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NSSE and the Concept of Student Engagement
What is Student Engagement?

✓ What students do -- time and energy devoted to studies and other educationally purposeful activities

✓ What institutions do -- using resources and effective educational practices to induce students to do the right things

✓ Educationally effective institutions channel student energy toward the right activities
Seven Principles of Good Practice in Undergraduate Education

- Student-faculty contact
- Active learning
- Prompt feedback
- Time on task
- High expectations
- Experiences with diversity
- Cooperation among students

Brief Background of NSSE

- Launched with grant from The Pew Charitable Trusts in 1999, supported by institutional participation fees since 2002.
- More than 1,500 baccalaureate-granting colleges and universities in the US and Canada have participated to date.
- Institution types, sizes, and locations represented in NSSE are largely representative of U.S. baccalaureate institutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>621</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goals of NSSE Project

✓ Focus conversations on undergraduate quality
✓ Enhance institutional practice and improvement initiatives
✓ Provide systematic national data on “good educational practices”
A Commitment to Data Quality

NSSE’s Psychometric Portfolio presents evidence of validity, reliability, and other indicators of data quality. It serves higher education leaders, researchers, and professionals who use NSSE.

See the Psychometric Portfolio
nsse.iub.edu/links/psychometric_portfolio
NSSE in 2013

HSU photos are courtesy of HSU Marketing and Communication Department.

www.flickr.com/photos/humboldtstate
NSSE Updated in 2013!

- Updating NSSE…
  - Connect engagement data to indicators of success, student behaviors, and institutional improvement
  - Emerging areas of interest – HIPs, quantitative reasoning, effective teaching, deep approaches, topical modules
NSSE 2013 Institutions by Carnegie Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carnegie Classification</th>
<th>NSSE Schools</th>
<th>All 4-year Schools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RU/VH</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RU/H</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRU</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s L</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s M</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s S</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bac/A&amp;S</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bac/Diverse</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2013 HSU NSSE Administration
Survey Administration

- Sampled all first-year & senior students
- Administered Spring 2013
- Multiple reminders to increase response rates
- Additional Modules
  - Academic Advising
  - Experiences with Writing
More than 1.5 million students were invited to participate in NSSE 2013, with 364,193 responding.

4,017 HSU students were invited to participate, with 1,303 responding.
First-year HSU response rate = 29%
Senior HSU response rate = 34%

**NSSE 2013 U.S. Institutional Response Rates**
All NSSE 2013 institutions = 30%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Undergraduate Enrollment</th>
<th>Number of Institutions</th>
<th>Avg. Institutional Response Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2,500 or fewer</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,501 to 4,999</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000 to 9,999</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 or more</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All institutions</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## NSSE 2013 Respondents by Race, Ethnicity, and Nationality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bachelor’s-Granting</th>
<th>NSSE 2013 Respondents</th>
<th>First Year HSU</th>
<th>Senior HSU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>African American/Black</strong></td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native</strong></td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian</strong></td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Native Hawaiian/other PI</strong></td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caucasian/White</strong></td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic/Latino</strong></td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiracial/Ethnic</strong></td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Foreign/nonresident alien</strong></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Totals may not sum to 100% due to rounding. U.S. percentages are unweighted and based on data from the 2011 IPEDS Institutional Characteristics and Enrollment File. IPEDS and NSSE categories for race and ethnicity differ. Percentages exclude students whose ethnicity was unknown or not provided.
Selected HSU Results
Methods

Comparison Groups

- Far West Public Institutions \((n=18)\)
- Carnegie Class Institutions \((n=55)\)
  - Master's Colleges and Universities (medium programs)
- NSSE 2013 Institutions \((n=567)\)
## Significant Findings

### Table 2. Significance and effect sizes for collaborative learning and engagement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name</th>
<th>First-Year Students</th>
<th>Seniors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>Effect size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Far West Carnegie NSSE</td>
<td>Far West Carnegie NSSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 a. askquest</td>
<td>.000 .000 .000</td>
<td>.23 .40 .32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. drafts</td>
<td>.000 .000 .023</td>
<td>.21 .18 .12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. unprepared</td>
<td>.000 .000 .001</td>
<td>.21 .25 .18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. attendart</td>
<td>ns .032 .044</td>
<td>.07 .11 .10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. CLaskhelp</td>
<td>ns .005 ns</td>
<td>.06 .13 .09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Clexplain</td>
<td>ns ns ns</td>
<td>.04 .05 .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. CLstudy</td>
<td>ns ns ns</td>
<td>.05 .10 .05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. CLproject</td>
<td>ns ns ns</td>
<td>.04 .02 .02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. present</td>
<td>.038 .002 .021</td>
<td>.10 .15 .11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Significant Findings

- Statistically significant findings
  - First-year Students
  - Senior Students

- Full report found at:
  - www.humboldt.edu/irp/Reports/NESSE/NSSE.html
First-year Students

Collaborative Learning, Classroom Engagement, and Preparation

- Asked questions or contributed to course discussions in other ways
- Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it in
- Went to class without completing readings or assignments
- Gave a course presentation

2008 Classroom Engagement

- Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it in in more often than all NSSE participants
- Students went to class without completing readings or assignments less often than Carnegie Class and NSSE comparison groups
- Only gave a course presentation less often than students at other CSUs: Did not differ from other groups.

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Reflective and Interactive Learning

- Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments
- Connected your learning to societal problems or issues
- Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course discussions or assignments
- Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue
- Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective
- Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Student-Faculty Interaction

- Worked with a faculty member on activities other than coursework
  - Such as committees, student groups, etc.

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Effective Teaching Practices

- Felt their instructors clearly explained course goals and requirements
- Felt their instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Discussions with Diverse Others
- Had discussions with people of a race or ethnicity other than their own
- Had discussions with people from a different economic background other than their own
- Had discussions with people with different religious beliefs other than their own

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Learning Strategies

- Reviewed their notes after class
- Summarized what they learned in class or from course materials

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Challenge
- Do not feel that their courses challenged them to do their best work

Campus Quality Survey
- Students rate HSU’s ability to challenge them as good/excellent overall (83%). Perhaps what HSU students consider high challenge and what other students consider high challenge differ.

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Educational and Personal Growth
- Hold a formal leadership role in a student organization or group
- Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together
- Participate in a study abroad programs

2008 Educational Experiences
- Participated in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together more often

Campus Quality Survey
- 79% of students have not held a leadership position on campus
- Only 5% of students have studied abroad, and 80% of those students say that their experience was extremely important to their education (15% said somewhat important)
- 61% of students did not know they could receive financial aid to study abroad

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Campus Emphasis

- Encourages contact among students from different backgrounds (social, racial/ethnic, religious, etc.) more than other campuses
- Provide support for your overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.) more than other campuses
- Emphasizes attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues more than other campuses

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Time Management

- Work on campus
- Work off campus
- Relax and socialize
- Care for dependents
- Commute to campus
- Total hours worked were less than peers

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Perceived Gains

- Write clearly and effectively
- Acquired as much job- or work-related knowledge and skills
- Better understanding of people of other backgrounds (economic, racial/ethnic, political, religious, nationality, etc.)

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Writing

- Argue a position using evidence and reasoning in their writing
- Write in the style and format of a specific field less often than their peers.
- Instructors provided clear instructions describing what he or she wanted the student to do

Campus Quality Survey

- Only 43% of respondents frequently wrote a term paper. Seniors wrote the most (52%) and freshmen wrote the least (26%). Less than 50% of respondents found writing a term paper difficult.
- Students wrote a term paper or prepared/delivered an oral presentation less often than students at two other CSUs used for comparison

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
First-year Students

Advising
- Discussed their academic interests, course selections, or academic performance with their advisor.
- Advisors informed them of academic support options (e.g. tutoring)

Campus Quality Survey
- Students rated faculty advising as the most important factor in advising.
- Students rated the quality of advising as excellent/good (82.5%)

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
HSU Senior Students
Senior Students

2013 Classroom Engagement
- Asked questions or contributed to course discussions in other ways
- Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it in
- Went to class without completing readings or assignments
- Attended an art exhibit, play or other arts performance (dance, music, etc.)

2008 Classroom Engagement
- Asked questions or contributed to course discussions in other ways more often than CSU students, but less often than CC peers
- Prepared two or more drafts of a paper or assignment before turning it in more often than overall NSSE participants
- Came to class without completing readings or assignments less often than peers

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Collaborative Learning
- Asked another student to help him/her understand course material
- Explained course material to one or more students
- Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students
- Worked with other students on course projects or assignments
- Gave a course presentation

2008 Collaborative Learning
- Worked with other students on course projects or assignments less often than peers
- Gave a course presentation less often than peers

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Reflective and Interactive Learning

- Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments
- Connected their learning to societal problems or issues
- Examined the strengths and weaknesses of their own views on a topic or issue
- Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective
- Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept
- Connected ideas from their courses to their prior experiences and knowledge

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Student-Faculty Interaction
- Worked with a faculty member on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.)
- Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class

Campus Quality Survey
- Students who work with faculty on projects report an enhanced educational experience

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Higher-Order Learning

- Memorize course materials

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Effective Teaching Practices
- Felt their instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments

2008 Effective Teaching Practices
- Felt their instructors provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments more often than other CSU students, and as often as other two groups.

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Quantitative Reasoning
- Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, graphs, statistics, etc.)
- Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health)
- Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information

Campus Quality Survey
- Students felt the greatest skill they improved in at HSU was critical thinking and analytical reasoning

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Reading and Writing Frequency

- Time spent on assigned reading

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Discussions with Diverse Others
- Had discussions with people of a race or ethnicity other than their own
- Had discussions with people from a different economic background other than their own
- Had discussions with people with political views other than their own

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Learning Strategies

- Identified key information from reading assignments
- Reviewed their notes after class
- Summarized what they learned in class or from course materials

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Challenge

- Do not feel that their courses challenged them to do their best work

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Educational and Personal Growth
- Work with a faculty member on a research project
- Complete a culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, portfolio, etc.)

Campus Quality Survey
- Students who work with faculty on projects report an enhanced educational experience

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

HSU Emphasizes…

- Providing opportunities to be involved socially
- Providing support for student overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.)
- Attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.)
- Attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Time Management

- Preparing for class
- Participating in curricular activities
- Work on campus
- Work off campus
- Relaxing and socializing
- Caring for dependents
- Assigned reading
- Total hours worked

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

HSU Prepares students to...

- Acquire job- or work-related knowledge and skills
- Solve complex real-world problems
- Be an informed and active citizen

Compared to All Three Peer Groups

- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Writing
- Developed ideas before starting assignment
- Received feedback on a draft before final assignment
- Gave feedback to a classmate about a draft or outline
- Analyzed something read, researched, or observed
- Described methods or findings related to data
- Argued a position using evidence and reasoning
- Explained in writing the meaning of statistical data
- Wrote in the style and format of a specific field
- Addressed a real or imagined audience
- Explained in advance learning objectives

Campus Quality Survey
- Only 43% of respondents frequently wrote a term paper. Seniors wrote the most (52%) and freshmen wrote the least (26%). Less than 50% of respondents found writing a term paper difficult.
- Students wrote a term paper less often than students at two other CSUs used for comparison

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Senior Students

Advising
- Students interacted with an academic advisor
- Were informed of important deadlines by advisors
- Advisors helped students understand academic rules and policies
- Were informed of academic support options less
- Advisors helped students get information on special opportunities

Campus Quality Survey
- Students rated faculty advising as the most important factor in advising.
- Students rated the quality of advising as excellent/good (82.5%)

Compared to All Three Peer Groups
- Blue = Higher than
- Red = Lower than
Questions & Discussion