The Academic Policies Committee (APC) has had an active year. We have brought 6 resolutions to the University Senate (5 passed) and finished discussion on another 3 items (see list below). Of particular importance, we were able to pass new policies on cross-listed courses and the automatic triggering for the Registrar's Office to begin the articulation process upon receipt of a petition to substitute. We also have a number of other issues that we did not resolve this year and must be addressed during the next academic year.

APC Finished Business for 2013-2014 AY:

Resolutions brought to the University Senate

1. Policy for counting more than one CWT course toward the upper division General Ed requirement. Passed by the Senate 02/25/14.
3. Resolution triggering the processes for articulation by petition to substitute. Passed by Senate 03/11/14.
5. Policy on cross-listed courses. Passed by Senate 04/29/14
6. Resolution on creation of a task force to investigate co-curricular transcripts. Voted down by Senate 04/29/14

Issues Resolved in Committee
1. Determined that the fee structures for wholly online students were either determined by the Chancellor's Office or determined by the unit, thus, nothing more we could do.
2. Worked with the ICC to push back the last possible date for the submission of program changes, thereby, (hopefully) creating a slightly more flexible timeline for departments to alter their programs.
3. Discussions with the Registrar’s Office and CNRS Impacted programs led to a decision to wait and see how impaction at HSU looks before we begin drafting policies changing majors into an impacted program.

APC Unfinished Business for 2013-2014 AY:

1. Streamlining of the withdrawal process. This issue will be addressed at the beginning of the Fall term so it can be put into effect ASAP.
2. A review of the PREP process, with eventual changes brought to the Senate as a resolution.
3. Using DARs as the degree contract. The Registrar’s Office is currently investigating best practices for course substitutions. Will work with the ICC to resolve.
4. Policy on co-listed graduate/undergraduate courses. We are waiting to see what happens with a draft resolution from the ASCSU. If there is any wiggle room following that resolution, we will again begin this discussion.
5. Policy regarding undergraduate students teaching courses for credit.
6. A review of our current syllabus policy.
7. Discuss with CEEE how their 8 week class schedule can most effectively mesh with our 15 week (or 5 week Summer) term.

The members of the Academic Policies Committee worked extremely hard this year to address the myriad of issues brought to us. I am extremely grateful to Jena’ Burgess, Gregg Gold, Su Karl, Benjamin Marschke, Clint Rebik, Andrew Stubblefield and Mark Teeter for their dedication and willingness to have such productive, collegial conversations.